AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2017

San Diego
Los Angeles
San Francisco
Bay Area



Table of Contents

June 30, 2017

<u>Page</u>
Introduction and Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Member Listing
Independent Auditors' Report
FINANCIAL SECTION
Balance Sheet4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
Notes to Financial Statements6
OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards
Independent Auditors' Report on Performance
FINDINGS AND RESPONSES SECTION
Schedule of Findings and Responses
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

Introduction and Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Member Listing June 30, 2017

San Marcos Unified School District (the "District") operates eleven elementary schools, one kindergarten through eighth grade school, three middle schools, two comprehensive high schools, a continuation high school, an independent study high school, and an adult school. The District served approximately 21,000 students in 2016-17.

In November 2010, the voters of the District approved, by more than the required 55% favorable vote, Proposition K, authorizing the issuance and sale of general obligation bonds, not to exceed \$287,000,000. Proposition K is a Proposition 39 bond. The passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000 amended the California Constitution to include accountability provisions. Specifically, the District must conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed as well as an annual, independent financial audit of the proceeds from the sale of the bonds until all of the proceeds have been expended for facilities projects.

Proposition K bonds were issued by the District, through the County of San Diego. On June 22, 2011, the District issued Series A of the Election of 2010 General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$142,046,476. On April 25, 2012, the District issued Series B of the Election of 2010 General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$64,995,522. On April 30, 2014, the District issued Series C of the Election of 2010 General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$74,702,798.

The accompanying Proposition K Audit Report, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, reflects the issuance of Series A, B, and C of the Proposition K general obligation bonds and compliance with the applicable requirements of Proposition 39 for such period.

Upon passage of Proposition 39, an accompanying piece of legislation, AB 1908 (Chapter 44, Statutes of 2000), was also enacted, which amended the Education Code to establish additional procedures which must be followed if a District seeks approval of a bond measure pursuant to the 55% majority authorized in Proposition K including formation, composition and purpose of the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee (CBOC). In compliance with Education Code Section 15278(a), the Proposition K CBOC was formed within 60 days of January 10, 2011, the date that the governing board entered the Proposition K election results on its minutes pursuant to Section 15274.

The Proposition K Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee was comprised of the following members as of June 30, 2017:

Name	Representation
Stacey Burns	PTA Organization Member
Gary Gidner	Senior Citizens' Organization Member
Bill Steinecke	Parent of Child in District
Becky Sparks	At-Large Member
Vacant*	Taxpayer's Organization Member
Vacant*	Business Organization
Vacant*	At-Large Member

^{*}The noted positions were vacant as of June 30, 2017, however the District has filled the vacant seats during the 2017-18 fiscal year.



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board Members and Proposition K Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee San Marcos Unified School District San Marcos, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund of San Marcos Unified School District (the "District") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Proposition K Bond Building Fund's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Christy White, CPA

Michael D. Ash, CPA

John Whitehouse, CPA

Heather Daud Rubio

SAN DIEGO
LOS ANGELES
SAN FRANCISCO/BAY AREA

Corporate Office: 348 Olive Street San Diego, CA 92103

toll-free: 877.220.7229 tel: 619.270.8222 fax: 619.260.9085 www.christywhite.com

Licensed by the California
State Board of Accountancy

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

As discussed in Note 1A, the financial statements present only the individual Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund, consisting of the net construction proceeds of the Proposition K general obligation bonds as issued by the District, through the County of San Diego, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position of the District in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund of San Marcos Unified School District as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Prior-Year Comparative Information

We have previously audited the Proposition K Bond Building Fund's 2016 financial statements, and we expressed an unmodified opinion on the respective financial position and changes in financial position in our report dated Febuary 7, 2017. In our opinion, the comparative information presented herein as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016 is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited financial statements from which it has been derived.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated February 27, 2018 on our consideration of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Proposition K Bond Building Fund's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

In accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as incorporated in California Constitution Article 13A, we have also issued our performance audit report dated February 27, 2018 on our consideration of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39. That report is an integral part of our audit of the Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 and should be considered in assessing the results of our financial audit.

San Diego, California February 27, 2018

Christy White Associates



Balance Sheet

June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Data for June 30, 2016)

	2017	2016		
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$ 73,895,586	\$	85,187,351	
Accounts receivable	229,206		122,702	
Total Assets	\$ 74,124,792	\$	85,310,053	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ 1,406,394	\$	586,579	
Due to other funds	 		868	
Total Liabilities	1,406,394		587,447	
Fund Balance				
Restricted for capital projects	 72,718,398		84,722,606	
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 74,124,792	\$	85,310,053	

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Data for the Year Ended June 30, 2016)

	2017			2016		
REVENUES						
Other local revenue	\$	846,323	\$	541,301		
Total Revenues		846,323	541,301			
EXPENDITURES						
Facilities acquisition and construction		12,850,531		6,837,844		
Total Expenditures		12,850,531		6,837,844		
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues						
Over (Under) Expenditures		(12,004,208)		(6,296,543)		
Beginning Fund Balance		84,722,606		91,019,149		
Ending Fund Balance	\$	72,718,398	\$	84,722,606		

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2017

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

The Board of Supervisors of San Diego County adopted a resolution on May 27, 1975, creating a new unified school district comprising the area of Rich-Mar Union School District named "San Marcos Unified School District." The District operates eleven elementary schools, one kindergarten through eighth grade school, three middle schools, two comprehensive high schools, a continuation high school, an independent study high school, and an adult school. The District serves approximately 21,000 students.

In November 2010, the voters of the District approved by more than 55% Proposition K, authorizing the issuance and sale of general obligation bonds, not to exceed \$287,000,000, for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities.

An advisory committee to the District's Governing Board and Superintendent, called the Proposition K Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee, was established pursuant to the requirements of state law and the provisions of Proposition K. According to its mission statement, the Committee's oversight goals include: providing independent monitoring and review of all Proposition K fund expenditures in accordance with contracts executed by and between the Districts, it's Contractors and Sub-contractors and all Bond Agency requirements and to keep the public informed through normal public information channels.

The statements presented are for the individual Proposition K Bond Building Fund of the District, consisting of the net construction proceeds of Proposition K Series A, B, and C general obligation bonds as issued by the District, through the County of San Diego, and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the District's financial position or results of operations.

B. Accounting Policies

The District accounts for its financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California Department of Education's *California School Accounting Manual*. The accounting policies of the District conform to generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

Notes to Financial Statements, continued June 30, 2017

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

C. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. On a modified accrual basis, revenue is recorded in the fiscal year in which the resources are measurable and become available. "Available" means the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year.

D. Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid.

E. Deposits and Investments

In accordance with Education Code Sections 15357 and 41001, the District maintains a portion of its cash in the San Diego County Treasury. The county pools these funds with those of other districts in the county and invests the cash. These pooled funds are carried at cost, which approximates market value. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

F. <u>Use of Estimates</u>

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Notes to Financial Statements, continued June 30, 2017

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

G. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for all government funds. By state law, the District's governing board must adopt a budget no later than July 1. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements.

These budgets are revised by the District's governing board during the year to give consideration to unanticipated income and expenditures. Formal budgetary integration was employed as a management control device during the year for all budgeted funds. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

H. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for all government funds. By state law, the District's governing board must adopt a budget no later than July 1. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements.

These budgets are revised by the District's governing board during the year to give consideration to unanticipated income and expenditures. Formal budgetary integration was employed as a management control device during the year for all budgeted funds. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

I. Fund Balance

Under GASB Statement No. 54, fund balance is divided into five classifications based primarily on the extent to which the District is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources in the governmental funds. The fund balance in the Proposition K bond fund is considered restricted.

The restricted fund balance classification reflects amounts subject to externally imposed and legally enforceable constraints. Such constraints may be imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or may be imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Notes to Financial Statements, continued June 30, 2017

NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Summary of Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2017 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as cash in county treasury in the amount of \$73,895,586.

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered state warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations. Investments of debt proceeds held by trustees are governed by the provisions of debt agreements rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities up to 30 years.

Cash in County Treasury – The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

Notes to Financial Statements, continued June 30, 2017

NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

General Authorizations

Except for investments by trustees of debt proceeds, the authority to invest District funds deposited with the county treasury is delegated to the County Treasurer and Tax Collector. The table below identifies examples of the investment types permitted in the investment policy:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, custodial credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are described below:

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the San Diego County Treasury Investment Pool. The District maintains a pooled investment with the County Treasury with a fair value of approximately \$73,645,080 and an amortized book value of \$73,895,586 at June 30, 2017. The average weighted maturity for this pool is 417 days.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The investment in the San Diego County Investment Pool is rated AAAf/S1 by Standard & Poor's.

Notes to Financial Statements, continued June 30, 2017

NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of a failure of a counterparty to an investment, some or all of the investment amount may not be recovered by the holder of the investment. The District's investments as of June 30, 2017 were not subject to custodial credit risk because the investments were in the county investment pool.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the District contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond the amount stipulated by the California Government Code. District investments that are greater than 5 percent of total investments are in either an external investment pool or mutual funds and are therefore exempt.

Fair Value

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonable available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the San Diego County Treasury Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

As of June 30, 2017, the District held uncategorized investments in the San Diego County Treasury with a fair value of \$73,645,080 in relation to the Proposition K Bond Building Fund.

Notes to Financial Statements, continued June 30, 2017

NOTE 3 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund as of June 30, 2017 consisted of the following:

Interest earned on investments	\$ 226,290
Other local sources	2,916
Total	\$ 229,206

NOTE 4 – PROPOSITION K GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

In November 2010, the voters of the San Marcos Unified School District approved by more than 55% Proposition K, authorizing the issuance and sale of \$287,000,000 of general obligation bonds. Proposition K bonds were issued by the District, through the County of San Diego. On June 22, 2011, the District issued Series A of the Election of 2010 General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$142,046,476, with an additional original premium and costs of issuance each equal to \$1,754,746. On April 25, 2012, the District issued Series B of the Election of 2010 General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$64,995,522, with an additional original premium and costs of issuance equal to \$951,356 and \$311,150, respectively. On April 30, 2014, the District issued Series C of the Election of 2010 General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$74,702,799, with an additional original premium and costs of issuance equal to \$4,411,462 and \$366,000, respectively. The resulting total bond indebtedness of \$307,310,106 is described below:

- The 2010 Series A General Obligation Bonds consist of current interest bonds of \$116,700,000 with interest yields ranging from 2.56% to 5.10% and fully maturing on August 1, 2038 and capital appreciation bonds of \$25,346,476 with interest yields of 1.38% to 6.65%. The principal balance outstanding as of June 30, 2017 is \$147,430,004, which includes accreted interest on the capital appreciation bonds.
- The 2010 Series B General Obligation Bonds consist of capital appreciation bonds of \$64,995,522 with interest yields of 0.75% to 5.80% and fully maturing on August 1, 2051. The principal balance outstanding as of June 30, 2017 is \$84,456,110, which includes accreted interest on the capital appreciation bonds.
- The 2010 Series C General Obligation Bonds consist of current interest bonds of \$51,650,000 with interest yields ranging from 4.00% to 5.00% and fully maturing on August 1, 2040 and capital appreciation bonds of \$23,052,799 with interest yields of 1.83% to 5.31%. The principal balance outstanding as of June 30, 2017 is \$75,423,992, which includes accreted interest on the capital appreciation bonds.

Notes to Financial Statements, continued June 30, 2017

NOTE 4 – PROPOSITION K GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (continued)

The annual requirements to amortize all Proposition K outstanding general obligation bonds payable as of June 30, 2017, presented in a consolidated format, are as follows:

		Current			Accreted	
Year Ended June 30,	Principal	Interest		Interest		Total
2018	\$ 1,299,782	\$	8,306,688	\$	330,218	\$ 9,936,688
2019	1,645,205		8,306,688		574,795	10,526,688
2020	2,681,816		8,306,688		273,184	11,261,688
2021	2,424,696		8,220,488		1,285,304	11,930,488
2022	3,855,285		8,220,488		569,715	12,645,488
2023 - 2027	18,575,778		40,342,440		16,519,223	75,437,441
2028 - 2032	37,181,217		39,414,501		24,118,783	100,714,501
2033 - 2037	69,039,736		30,887,750		34,540,264	134,467,750
2038 - 2042	93,900,364	9,869,625		9,869,625 27,879,637		131,649,626
2043 - 2047	17,669,111		-		94,900,410	112,569,521
2048 - 2052	27,188,551		-		199,897,561	227,086,112
Accretion	31,848,565		-		(31,848,565)	-
Total	\$ 307,310,106	\$	161,875,356	\$	369,040,529	\$ 838,225,991

Notes to Financial Statements, continued June 30, 2017

NOTE 4 – PROPOSITION K GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (continued)

The annual requirements to amortize all Proposition K outstanding general obligation bonds payable as of June 30, 2017, presented in a non-consolidated format, are as follows:

		Current	Accreted	
Year Ended June 30,	Principal	Interest	Interest	Total
2018	1,299,782	8,306,688	330,218	9,936,688
2019	1,645,205	8,306,688	574,795	10,526,688
2020	2,681,816	8,306,688	273,184	11,261,688
2021	2,424,696	8,220,488	1,285,304	11,930,488
2022	3,855,285	8,220,488	569,715	12,645,488
2023	3,181,945	8,068,488	2,143,055	13,393,488
2024	3,567,957	8,068,488	2,557,043	14,193,488
2025	3,782,133	8,068,488	3,187,868	15,038,489
2026	3,945,593	8,068,488	3,919,407	15,933,488
2027	4,098,150	8,068,488	4,711,850	16,878,488
2028	3,605,328	8,068,488	6,209,672	17,883,488
2029	3,728,407	8,068,488	7,151,593	18,948,488
2030	7,740,747	8,068,488	4,269,253	20,078,488
2031	10,455,763	7,819,112	2,994,237	21,269,112
2032	11,650,972	7,389,925	3,494,028	22,534,925
2033	5,116,768	6,903,250	11,858,232	23,878,250
2034	13,750,249	6,903,250	4,644,751	25,298,250
2035	15,165,949	6,343,750	5,294,051	26,803,750
2036	16,690,119	5,717,750	5,989,881	28,397,750
2037	18,316,651	5,019,750	6,753,349	30,089,750
2038	20,055,664	4,244,500	7,579,336	31,879,500
2039	21,931,850	3,386,000	8,458,151	33,776,001
2040	29,464,150	1,729,000	3,885,850	35,079,000
2041	21,456,500	510,125	3,948,500	25,915,125
2042	992,200	-	4,007,800	5,000,000
2043	936,000	-	4,064,000	5,000,000
2044	874,850	_	4,125,150	5,000,000
2045	4,429,554	_	22,506,887	26,936,441
2046	5,677,168	_	30,834,608	36,511,776
2047	5,751,539	_	33,369,765	39,121,304
2048	5,712,616	_	35,382,384	41,095,000
2049	5,436,509	_	37,713,894	43,150,403
2050	5,391,404	_	39,919,148	45,310,552
2051	5,346,299	_	42,228,859	47,575,158
2052	5,301,723	_	44,653,276	49,954,999
Accretion	31,848,565	_	(31,848,565)	
Total	\$ 307,310,106	\$ 161,875,356	\$ 369,040,529	\$ 838,225,991
Total	Ψ 507,510,100	ψ 101,075,550	ψ 507,0±0,329	ψ 000,220,991

Notes to Financial Statements, continued

June 30, 2017

NOTE 5 – CONSTRUCTION COMMITMENTS

As of June 30, 2017, the District had commitments with respect to unfinished Proposition K capital projects in the amount of \$28,836,741.

NOTE 6 – EXPENDITURES BY PROJECT SITE


The following table presents the expenditure amounts by project for the years ended June 30, 2011 through June 30, 2017, respectively:

Project	2011*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
San Marcos Middle School	\$ - \$	- \$	- \$	4,733	\$ 300,004 \$	728,909 \$	5,649,212 \$	6,682,858
Technology Infrastructure	=	=	-	647,395	146,532	=	2,158,873	2,952,800
Alvin Dunn Elementary School	=	=	89,770	582	1,215,950	358,869	1,852,184	3,517,355
Mission Hills High School	-	-	-	674,089	637,129	924,356	1,199,892	3,435,466
San Elijo Middle School	-	-	-	31,535	1,221,491	1,155,609	1,110,454	3,519,089
La Costa Meadows Elementary School	=	=	-	352,027	255,605	812,820	879,815	2,300,267
San Marcos High School	2,812,858	33,750,157	74,826,565	51,491,161	9,844,978	1,152,676	101	173,878,496
Knob Hill Elementary School	-	-	-	1,303	574,947	430,918	-	1,007,168
Woodland Park Middle School	-	-	87,915	1,075,169	944,643	341,603	-	2,449,330
Twin Oaks Elementary School	-	-	-	12,968	671,914	240,664	-	925,546
San Elijo Elementary School	=	=	-	-	518,557	238,810	-	757,367
Twin Oaks High School	-	-	-	901	369,760	217,535	-	588,196
Carrillo Elementary School	=	=	-	351,035	3,021,946	34,920	-	3,407,901
San Marcos Elementary School	-	-	-	244,648	2,197,344	34,139	-	2,476,131
Richland Elementary School	=	=	-	16,678	698,254	21,375	-	736,307
Joli Ann Leichtag Elementary School	=	=	-	1,410	323,184	12,721	-	337,315
Discovery Elementary School	-	-	-	22,634	1,150,557	11,970	-	1,185,161
Foothills High School	=	=	-	-	106,280	11,970	-	118,250
Paloma Elementary School	-	-	-	489,976	56,691	-	-	546,667
Debt Service and Other	=	2,478,782	-	346,152	20,147	=	-	2,845,081
Program Administration - Districtwide	146,801	193,502	166,907	529,192	724,202	107,980	-	1,868,584
Total	\$ 2,959,659 \$	36,422,441 \$	75,171,157 \$	56,293,588	\$ 25,000,115 \$	6,837,844 \$	12,850,531 \$	215,535,335

^{*}These amounts are unaudited.

NOTE 7 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 31, 2017, the District issued 2010 Election, Series D general obligation bonds in the amount of \$5,255,000 and 2017 Refunding Bonds in the amount of \$109,915,000 to refund a portion of the District's outstanding 2010 Election, Series A general obligation bonds. Interest rates on the Series D general obligation bonds range from 3.00% to 4.00% and mature through August 1, 2021. Interest rates on the 2017 Refunding Bonds range from 2.00% to 5.00% and mature through August 1, 2038.





INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board Members and Proposition K Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee San Marcos Unified School District San Marcos, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund of San Marcos Unified School District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Proposition K Bond Building Fund of San Marcos Unified School District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 27, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Proposition K Bond Building Fund of San Marcos Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund of San Marcos Unified School District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund of San Marcos Unified School District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Christy White, CPA

Michael D. Ash, CPA

John Whitehouse, CPA

Heather Daud Rubio

SAN DIEGO
LOS ANGELES
SAN FRANCISCO/BAY AREA

Corporate Office: 348 Olive Street San Diego, CA 92103

toll-free: 877.220.7229 tel: 619.270.8222 fax: 619.260.9085 www.christywhite.com

Licensed by the California

State Board of Accountance

Internal Control over Financial Reporting (continued)

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Proposition K Bond Building Fund of San Marcos Unified School District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

San Diego, California February 27, 2018

Christy White Associates



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board Members and Proposition K Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee San Marcos Unified School District San Marcos, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Proposition K Bond Building Fund's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Christy White, CPA

Michael D. Ash, CPA

John Whitehouse, CPA

Heather Daud Rubio

SAN DIEGO
LOS ANGELES
SAN FRANCISCO/BAY AREA

Corporate Office: 348 Olive Street San Diego, CA 92103

toll-free: 877.220.7229 tel: 619.270.8222 fax: 619.260.9085 www.christywhite.com

Licensed by the California
State Board of Accountancy

Objectives

In connection with our audit, we performed an audit of compliance as required in the performance requirements for the Proposition K Bond Building Fund ("Proposition K") for the year ended June 30, 2017. The objective of the examination of compliance applicable to the District is to determine with reasonable assurance that:

• The proceeds from the sale of the Proposition K Bond Building Funds were only used for the purposes set forth in the ballot Proposition and not for any other purpose, such as teacher and administrative salaries.

In performing our audit of compliance, we performed procedures including but not limited to those listed as follows:

Internal Control Evaluation

Procedures Performed:

Inquiries were made of management regarding internal controls to:

- Prevent fraud or abuse regarding Proposition K funds
- Ensure adequate separation of duties exists in the accounting for Proposition K funds
- Prevent material misstatements in the financial statements
- Ensure expenditures are allocated to the proper District funds

We then performed substantive tests of financial statement balances to determine whether the controls designed by management were operating effectively, and to provide reasonable assurance that the fiscal year 2016-17 financial statement balances for the Proposition K Bond Building Fund are not materially misstated.

Results of Procedures Performed:

The results of our audits tests show that internal control procedures appear to be working to meet the financial and compliance objectives required by generally accepted accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. An unmodified opinion was expressed on the financial statements.

Facilities Site Walk

Procedures Performed:

We performed a site walk to verify that Proposition K funds expended for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 were for valid facilities acquisition and construction purposes. We toured the construction sites at San Marcos Middle School, Alvin Dunn Elementary School, and La Costa Meadows Elementary School. Through observation and inquiry, auditor was able to gain an understanding of the projects taking place and ensure that funds were being properly expended in accordance with the Proposition K ballot language.

Results of Procedures Performed:

Results of the site walks indicate that the major 2016-17 Proposition K construction activities at San Marcos Middle School, Alvin Dunn Elementary School, and La Costa Meadows Elementary School were successfully completed and advanced into 2017-18 construction phases where applicable.

Test of Expenditures

Procedures Performed:

We tested expenditures to determine whether Proposition K Bond Building Fund funds were spent solely on voter and Board approved school facilities projects (as set forth in the District's Facilities Master Plan and the Proposition K ballot measure language). The expenditure test included a sample of 31 warrant expenditures totaling approximately \$5.11 million, or about 40% of total expenditures, for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Results of Procedures Performed:

We found the expenditures tested to be in compliance with the terms of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund ballot language, sound internal control practices, and applicable state laws and regulations without exception.

Test of Contracts and Bid Procedures

Procedures Performed:

For the year ended June 30, 2017, we reviewed the contract bidding procedures for compliance with applicable sections of Public Contract Code, provisions of the California Uniform Construction Cost Accounting Commission (CUPCAA) policies, and lease-leaseback contracts (as applicable) for the following contracts awarded:

- Technology Phase 5.2 Pathway Communications in the amount of \$1,798,321 (CUPCAA formal bid)
- Alvin Dunn Elementary Lusardi Construction in the amount of \$11,686,992 (Lease-leaseback)

Results of Procedures Performed:

We found the bidding procedures for the contracts noted above to be in compliance with the terms of the Proposition K Bond Building Fund ballot language and applicable state laws and regulations without exception.

Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee

Procedures Performed:

In accordance with AB 1908 (Assembly Bill) and Education Code Section 15278, the District is required to establish a bond oversight committee for Prop 39 bonds including one active member from each of the following sectors: a business organization, senior citizens organization, bona fide taxpayers' association, and one parent/guardian of a child enrolled in the District and one parent/guardian of a child enrolled in the District who is also a member of a parent teacher association. The CBOC is to meet pursuant to Education Code Section 15280.

Results of Procedures Performed:

We found that the Proposition K Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee was in compliance with the applicable provisions set forth by Education Code, as outlined above. The seats that were vacant as of June 30, 2017 have subsequently been filled.

Opinion


In our opinion, based on the fieldwork described above as well as the other tests that we conducted, the District complied with the compliance requirements for the Proposition K Bond Building Fund as listed and tested above.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on accountability requirements pursuant to the passage of Proposition 39 and the enactment of AB 1908 (Chapter 44, Statutes of 2000). Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

San Diego, California

Christy White Associates

February 27, 2018



PROPOSITION K BOND BUILDING FUND SAN MARCOS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

There were no audit findings or recommendations related to the 2016-17 Proposition K Bond Building Fund financial and performance audits.

PROPOSITION K BOND BUILDING FUND SAN MARCOS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

There were no audit findings or recommendations related to the 2015-16 Proposition K Bond Building Fund financial and performance audits.